

Omaha WWTP Consultative Group

Agenda and meeting actions #9

| PROJECT: | Omaha WWTP Consultative Group |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| DATE: | 27/02/2016 |
| REPORT PREPARED BY: | NW |
| ATTACHMENTS: | |

| Present | Apology | Сору | Name |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| | \boxtimes | | Bill Tucker |
| | \boxtimes | | David Stone (Omaha Shorebirds Protection Trust) |
| \boxtimes | | | Dean Grice |
| \boxtimes | | | Denis O'Callahan |
| \boxtimes | | | Elizabeth Foster (Whangateau Harbourcare Group) |
| \boxtimes | | | Fiona McKenzie (Manuhiri Kaitiaki Charitable Trust) |
| \boxtimes | | | Graham Painter (Omaha Beach Community) |
| | \boxtimes | | Hugh McKergow (Whangateau Residents & Ratepayers) |
| | | | Ian McDonald (Whangateau Harbourcare Group) |
| \boxtimes | | | Ines Curin (Point Wells Community & Ratepayers) |
| | \boxtimes | | John Cranston |
| | \boxtimes | | John Laurence |
| \boxtimes | | | John Linton (Omaha Beach Golf Club) |
| | \boxtimes | | Keith McSporran (Omaha Shorebirds Protection Trust) |
| \boxtimes | | | Mark Barnett |
| | \boxtimes | | Mike Bradbury (Point Wells Community & Ratepayers) |
| | \boxtimes | | Neville Johnson (Matakana Community Group) |
| | \boxtimes | | Noelene Cranston |
| | \boxtimes | | Raewyn Morrison (Forest & Bird Mid North Branch) |
| | \boxtimes | | Richard Muir |
| | \boxtimes | | Roger Grace (Whangateau Harbourcare Group) |
| | | | Theo Verryt |
| | \boxtimes | | Trish Allen |
| | | \boxtimes | Richard Brabant (Omaha Beach Golf Club) |
| | | \boxtimes | David Wilson (Department of Conservation) |
| | \boxtimes | | Megan Beard (Auckland Council) |
| | \boxtimes | | Chrissy Henley (Auckland Council) |
| | | | Alan Pattle (Pattle Delamore Partners) |
| | | | Aslan Perwick (Pattle Delamore Partners) |
| | | | Mark James (Aquatic Environmental Sciences) |
| | | | Phil Mitchell (Mitchell Partnerships) |
| | | | Nicholas Woodley (Mitchell Partnerships) |
| | | | Jim Cooke (Streamlined Environmental) |
| | | | Mark Bourne (Watercare) |
| \boxtimes | | | Andre Stuart (Watercare) |

| | | \boxtimes | | Vila Souvannavong (W | | _ | |
|---------|-------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|
| Agen | da/A | ctions | | | | Responsibility | Due by |
| The m | irees | o of this | ooting | to proport the findings - | f the groundwater | | |
| • | • | t investiga | • | to present the findings o | r the groundwater | | |
| | | | e gave a br application | ief presentation outlining | possible next | | |
| | | and Aslan water inves | | PDP gave a presentation | n on the results of | | |
| | | | | onmental gave a presenta s, and emerging contami | | | |
| | | ints of eac d below. | h presenta | tion and the main items ra | aised or discussed | | |
| | | | | roup, 27 February 2016, Andre Stuart | Pt Wells | | |
| ntrod | uctic | on | | | | | |
| • | | | d in Invest | igation Plan now largely c | complete | | |
| • | | | | nore meeting to present fi | | | |
| • | | | | meeting(s) to discuss fin s per the terms of referen | | | |
| • | The | erefore, ha | ve not set | a date for lodgement of a | pplication | | |
| Propo | sed f | forthcomi | ng meetin | gs | | | |
| • | Тос | day's meet | ing presen | t findings on | | | |
| | | o Grou | ndwater | | | | |
| | | o Nutrie | ents and Er | merging Contaminants | | | |
| ٠ | Ме | eting 12 M | larch prese | nt findings on | | | |
| | | o Micro | biology | | | | |
| | | o Hydro | odynamics | | | | |
| | | o Ecolo | ogy | | | | |
| | | o Land | use manag | gement | | | |
| • | 10 | April | | | | | |
| | | Feed etc | back sessi | on and discuss next steps | s/future meetings | | |
| require | ed to | meet, for e | example wł | e standards that the disch nat limits are required by faecal coliforms | | Watercare | 12 March 2016 |
| • | | | | next meeting | | | |
| | | NTP – Gro vick, PDP | oundwater | Assessment Results – | Alan Pattle and | | |
| The pr | esen | tation cove | ered the fol | lowing matters: | | | |
| • | | estigations | | io maginationo. | | | |
| - | | • | | undwater systems | | | |
| - | | πουριααι Π | | | | | 1 |

| • | da/Actions | Responsibility | Due by |
|--|---|----------------|--------|
| | Findings | | |
| • | Effects on Groundwater Users | | |
| • | Where to from here | | |
| Investi | gations have included: | | |
| • | Coastline area survey | | |
| • | 'Land Drain' inspections | | |
| • | 14x auger holes | | |
| ٠ | ~4 km of Ground Penetrating Radar surveys | | |
| • | ~3.1 km of Electro-Magnetic surveys | | |
| ٠ | 6x groundwater quality samples | | |
| ٠ | Installed 23x additional groundwater monitoring wells | | |
| ٠ | Groundwater level gauging and chemistry sampling | | |
| ٠ | Major Drains - flow gauging and surveying | | |
| ٠ | Omaha Taniko Wetland – shallow geological investigation | | |
| ٠ | Soil infiltration capacity testing | | |
| • | Soil permeability testing | | |
| | g irrigation system was then ran through the entire climate data from 1969-2014. | | |
| Course | mer most treated wastewater is irrigated to the Omaha Beach Golf e. During winter most goes to the Jones Road irrigation sites, except it is particularly wet (~1 in every 6 years), when irrigation to the dunes irred. | | |
| Course when i is requ Water | e. During winter most goes to the Jones Road irrigation sites, except it is particularly wet (~1 in every 6 years), when irrigation to the dunes lired. balance of existing system | | |
| Course when i is requ Water | During winter most goes to the Jones Road irrigation sites, except t is particularly wet (~1 in every 6 years), when irrigation to the dunes lired. balance of existing system Road | | |
| Course when i is requ Water | e. During winter most goes to the Jones Road irrigation sites, except it is particularly wet (~1 in every 6 years), when irrigation to the dunes lired. balance of existing system | | |
| Course when i is requ Water Jones | buring winter most goes to the Jones Road irrigation sites, except t is particularly wet (~1 in every 6 years), when irrigation to the dunes lired. balance of existing system Road 64% of rainfall and irrigation returns to the atmosphere through | | |
| Course when i 's requ Water Jones | During winter most goes to the Jones Road irrigation sites, except t is particularly wet (~1 in every 6 years), when irrigation to the dunes irred. balance of existing system Road 64% of rainfall and irrigation returns to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration | | |
| Course when i is requ Water Jones • | buring winter most goes to the Jones Road irrigation sites, except t is particularly wet (~1 in every 6 years), when irrigation to the dunes lired. balance of existing system Road 64% of rainfall and irrigation returns to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration 3% is runoff (but rainfall only) 3% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Omaha River | | |
| Course when i is requ Water Jones • • | buring winter most goes to the Jones Road irrigation sites, except t is particularly wet (~1 in every 6 years), when irrigation to the dunes lired. balance of existing system Road 64% of rainfall and irrigation returns to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration 3% is runoff (but rainfall only) 3% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Omaha River Arm 30% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Waikokopu | | |
| Course when i is requ Water Jones • • | buring winter most goes to the Jones Road irrigation sites, except t is particularly wet (~1 in every 6 years), when irrigation to the dunes lired. balance of existing system Road 64% of rainfall and irrigation returns to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration 3% is runoff (but rainfall only) 3% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Omaha River Arm 30% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Waikokopu Creek Arm of the Whangateau Harbour (south of the causeway) a Beach Golf Course 45% of rainfall and irrigation returns to the atmosphere through | | |
| Course when i is requ Water Jones • • • • • • • • • | a. During winter most goes to the Jones Road irrigation sites, except t is particularly wet (~1 in every 6 years), when irrigation to the dunes lired. balance of existing system Road 64% of rainfall and irrigation returns to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration 3% is runoff (but rainfall only) 3% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Omaha River Arm 30% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Waikokopu Creek Arm of the Whangateau Harbour (south of the causeway) a Beach Golf Course 45% of rainfall and irrigation returns to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration | | |
| Course when i is requi Water Jones • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | a. During winter most goes to the Jones Road irrigation sites, except t is particularly wet (~1 in every 6 years), when irrigation to the dunes fired. balance of existing system Road 64% of rainfall and irrigation returns to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration 3% is runoff (but rainfall only) 3% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Omaha River Arm 30% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Waikokopu Creek Arm of the Whangateau Harbour (south of the causeway) a Beach Golf Course 45% of rainfall and irrigation returns to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration 2% is runoff (but rainfall only) 42-53% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the | | |
| Course when i is requ Water Jones • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | a. During winter most goes to the Jones Road irrigation sites, except t is particularly wet (~1 in every 6 years), when irrigation to the dunes lired. balance of existing system Road 64% of rainfall and irrigation returns to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration 3% is runoff (but rainfall only) 3% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Omaha River Arm 30% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Waikokopu Creek Arm of the Whangateau Harbour (south of the causeway) a Beach Golf Course 45% of rainfall and irrigation returns to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration 2% is runoff (but rainfall only) | | |

| Agenda/Actions | Responsibility | Due by |
|--|----------------|--------|
| It is assumed that all the irrigated treated wastewater goes to groundwater | | |
| 0-10% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Waikokopu Creek Arm | | |
| 90-100% goes to groundwater and then discharges to Omaha Beach | | |
| Key findings of existing irrigation rates | | |
| The results show that the current irrigation is suitable to deal with existing irrigation. | | |
| The current system at Jones Road is being used at close to its capacity and therefore the existing area would need to be expanded to accommodate increase irrigation volumes. | | |
| What is the system capacity | | |
| Watercare owns additional unirrigated land at Jones Road, ~9.1 ha of which is at an even higher elevation than the existing irrigated land and is therefore suitable for irrigation. | | |
| To test capacity several assumptions / operational constraints were used | | |
| No additional summer irrigation at the Golf Course | | |
| Irrigation must not | | |
| Raise water table to ground surface on Jones Road Site; and | | |
| Raise water level above 3.5m RL at the Golf Course – so that almost the entire golf course area remains playable. | | |
| Using the groundwater model, but with greater irrigation volumes, the results showed that up to 153,195 m3 could be irrigated to the Golf Course dunes during wet winters. | | |
| Water balance of future system | | |
| Jones Road | | |
| 66% of rainfall and irrigation returns to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration | | |
| 3% is runoff (but rainfall only) | | |
| 2% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Omaha River Arm | | |
| 29% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Waikokopu Creek Arm of the Whangateau Harbour (south of the causeway) | | |
| Omaha Beach Golf Course | | |
| 45% of rainfall and irrigation returns to the atmosphere through evapotranspiration | | |
| • 2% is runoff (but rainfall only) | | |
| 48-53% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Waikokopu Creek Arm | | |
| 0-5% goes to groundwater and then discharges to Omaha Beach | | |

| Omaha Dunes It is assumed that all the irrigated treated wastewater goes to groundwater | | |
|---|-------|---|
| | | |
| 5 | | |
| 10-45% goes to groundwater and then discharges to the Waikokopu Creek Arm | | |
| 55-90% goes to groundwater and then discharges to Omaha Beach | | |
| Key Finding of future system | | |
| The capacity of the irrigation system with the additional 9.1 ha at Jone Road is 300,000 m | es | |
| Effects on other Groundwater Users | | |
| Potential effects predicted to be negligible: | | |
| Waitemata Group Aquifer is well confined from the near surfa groundwater (Omaha Flats & Mangatawhriri Spit Quaternary Aquifers). | ace | |
| The takes are unlikely to have potential to 'reverse' the natura upward head gradient. | al | |
| Aquifer essentially fully allocated – no / little room for addition takes | nal | |
| Matters raised during the PDP presentation include: | | |
| What is the Omaha River Arm and Waikokopu Creek Arm? | | |
| The Omaha River Arm is that part of the Whangateau Harbour to the of Pt Wells. The Waikokopu Creek Arm is that part of the Whangateau Harbour south of the Broadlands Drive causeway. | | |
| Is evapotranspiration pure water and does this mean the remaining wastewater is more concentrated? | | |
| Yes, but the other nutrients and emerging contaminants are discussed the Streamlined presentation | d in | |
| Does most of the groundwater entering the harbour discharge during tides? | low | |
| Yes, at high tide the salt water limits the discharge of groundwater as heavier than the fresh water | it is | |
| During wet winters (1:6 years) would there be no irrigation to the Jone Road sites? | es | |
| Correct, all irrigation would go to the Omaha dunes. | | |
| What are the proportions of rain to irrigation on the Jones Road sites? | ? | |
| Within the irrigation sites themselves, the ratio of rain to irrigation is approximately 2:1 | | |
| During winter irrigation to the dunes, does most of it go eastwards to Omaha Beach? | | |
| | | 1 |

| Agenda/Actions | Responsibility | Due by |
|---|----------------|--------|
| Do the surface water maps indicate winter breakout of the irrigation? | | |
| No, it is more about the irrigated wastewater raising the groundwater level. | | |
| Is there any interaction between the shallow aquifers (under Omaha Flats and Mangatawhiri Spit). Is there any interaction between these? | | |
| No, the harbour separates the two shallow aquifers. | | |
| no, the harbour separates the two shallow aquiters. | | |
| Based on the average travel times it looks like the irrigation from the golf course may not have reached the harbour yet? | | |
| That is correct. Golf Course irrigation started in 2002 and the average travel time to the harbour is 18-25 years. | | |
| The capacity of the system (300,000 m3/yr) is less than the currently consented volume (390,000 m3/yr)? | | |
| Yes, that is what the groundwater investigation has found | | |
| Where is the rainfall data from that underpins the groundwater model? | | |
| The Leigh rain gauge. | | |
| | | |
| What about large rainfall events? | | |
| Large rainfall events are able to be managed through storage in the Omaha | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: • Processes affecting nitrogen | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: • Processes affecting nitrogen • Field investigations | | |
| Field investigations Nitrogen model | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: • Processes affecting nitrogen • Field investigations • Nitrogen model • Load to Whangateau Harbour | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: • Processes affecting nitrogen • Field investigations • Nitrogen model • Load to Whangateau Harbour • Fate and transport of phosphorus | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: • Processes affecting nitrogen • Field investigations • Nitrogen model • Load to Whangateau Harbour | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: • Processes affecting nitrogen • Field investigations • Nitrogen model • Load to Whangateau Harbour • Fate and transport of phosphorus • Potential risks of emerging contaminants | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: • Processes affecting nitrogen • Field investigations • Nitrogen model • Load to Whangateau Harbour • Fate and transport of phosphorus | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: • Processes affecting nitrogen • Field investigations • Nitrogen model • Load to Whangateau Harbour • Fate and transport of phosphorus • Potential risks of emerging contaminants | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: • Processes affecting nitrogen • Field investigations • Nitrogen model • Load to Whangateau Harbour • Fate and transport of phosphorus • Potential risks of emerging contaminants | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: • Processes affecting nitrogen • Field investigations • Nitrogen model • Load to Whangateau Harbour • Fate and transport of phosphorus • Potential risks of emerging contaminants Information for nitrogen model • To develop the nitrogen model we needed to understand the following • Vegetation uptake (immobilisation) | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: Processes affecting nitrogen Field investigations Nitrogen model Load to Whangateau Harbour Fate and transport of phosphorus Potential risks of emerging contaminants Information for nitrogen model To develop the nitrogen model we needed to understand the following Vegetation uptake (immobilisation) Soil processes Leaching to groundwater | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: Processes affecting nitrogen Field investigations Nitrogen model Load to Whangateau Harbour Fate and transport of phosphorus Potential risks of emerging contaminants Information for nitrogen model To develop the nitrogen model we needed to understand the following Vegetation uptake (immobilisation) Soil processes Leaching to groundwater Jones Road vegetation uptake | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: Processes affecting nitrogen Field investigations Nitrogen model Load to Whangateau Harbour Fate and transport of phosphorus Potential risks of emerging contaminants Information for nitrogen model To develop the nitrogen model we needed to understand the following Vegetation uptake (immobilisation) Soil processes Leaching to groundwater | | |
| Omaha WWTP consents – Fate of nutrients in treated wastewater irrigation and emerging contaminants – Jim Cooke, Streamlined Environmental Ltd The presentation covered the following matters: Processes affecting nitrogen Field investigations Nitrogen model Load to Whangateau Harbour Fate and transport of phosphorus Potential risks of emerging contaminants Information for nitrogen model To develop the nitrogen model we needed to understand the following Vegetation uptake (immobilisation) Soil processes Leaching to groundwater Jones Road vegetation uptake Eucalypts – 0-10 kg nitrogen/ hectare / year | | |

| | da/Actions | Responsibility | Due by |
|----------|--|----------------|--------|
| Fertilis | ser (fairways) – 100 kg nitrogen / hectare / year | | |
| Irrigat | ion – 128 kg nitrogen / hectare / year | | |
| Total - | – 228 kg nitrogen / hectare / year | | |
| | | | |
| Outpu | its | | |
| Immol | pilisation – 175 kg nitrogen / hectare / year | | |
| Leach | ing – 53 kg nitrogen / hectare / year | | |
| Total - | – 228 kg nitrogen / hectare / year | | |
| Sumn | nary of vegetation effectiveness at nitrogen uptake | | |
| • | Very low uptake by vegetation at Jones Road sites | | |
| • | Quite high uptake by grass on Omaha Beach golf course, which is | | |
| | immobilised in turf | | |
| Soil n | rocesses | | |
| • | If nitrogen is not taken up by vegetation, it can still be removed | | |
| | through soil processes before it enters surface water | | |
| ٠ | To understand the soil processes we measured a number of parameters including: | | |
| | Denitrification enzyme activity | | |
| | In situ denitrification rate | | |
| | Short-term Nitrification Activity | | |
| | Nitrate /ammonia concentrations | | |
| | Readily mineralisable carbon | | |
| | Loss on ignition | | |
| | Dissolved Organic Carbon | | |
| Samp | les | | |
| - | amples were collected from a number of sites around the irrigation | | |
| Resul | ts | | |
| • | Whilst in-situ denitrification is highest under the eucalypts they are not orders of magnitude greater than the other landuses | | |
| • | There appears to be more than enough readily mineralisable carbon to sustain denitrification, so it would appear that something else is limiting. | | |
| • | There is measureable nitrification activity down to at least 800 mm depth and other measurements indicate that oxygen was present. | | |
| • | It is therefore likely that oxygen is limiting. | | |
| • | Denitrification clearly is still occurring but it will be limited to anaerobic areas rather than throughout the entire peat matrix. | | |
| • | However, the depth of the peat means that even though denitrification rate per unit of soil is relatively low, the depth of peat soil is such that nitrate supplied from wastewater and nitrification is removed to low levels. This is confirmed in modelling. | | |

| Summary of results relevant to nitrogen production • There are very high nitrification rates measured in the native block • Consequently accumulation of nitrate-N also occurs under this landuse down to 1m. • Significant (but lower than native block) nitrification measured in eucalpust down to 80 cm. • In contrast, relatively low nitrification activity was measured Kahikate forest and the Golf Course. Results of nitrogen model There most likely estimates are the following: • Inrigated – 2200 kg nitrogen per year • Leaving unsaturated zone (to groundwater) – 655 kg nitrogen per year • Entering Whangateau Harbour (from groundwater) – 0 kg nitrogen per year Key findings • High denitrification rates in soil mean that nitrogen from Jones Road irrigation is almost entirely removed prior to entering Whangateau Harbour • Accordingly, vegetation type at Jones Road is of no practical consequence for nitrogen removal • The denitrification rates in sands beneath Golf Course are low, but nitrogen memoval will occur in saturated (groundwater) organic sediments • Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus • Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the dwhangateau Harbour • Nosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation of unalkely to enter the Mangateau Harbour • Monsphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation for mithe irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour • Mangateau Harbour • Mangateau Harbour • Monsphorus from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour • Mangateau Harbour • Whore contaminants from th | Agen | da/Actions | Responsibility | Due by |
|--|--------|--|----------------|--------|
| Consequently accumulation of nitrate-N also occurs under this landuse down to 1m. Significant (but lower than native block) nitrification measured in eucalypts down to 80 cm. In contrast, relatively low nitrification activity was measured Kahikatea forest and the Golf Course. Results of nitrogen model The most likely estimates are the following: Irrigated – 2200 kg nitrogen per year Leaving unsaturated zone (to groundwater) – 655 kg nitrogen per year Leaving unsaturated zone (to groundwater) – 0 kg nitrogen per year Key findings High denitrification rates in soll mean that nitrogen from Jones Road irrigation is almost entirely removed prior to entering Whangateau Harbour. Accordingly, vegetation type at Jones Road is of no practical consequence for nitrogen removal There is significant uptake by grass on Golf Course fairways but not not the dunes. The denitrification rates in solab eneath Golf Course fairways but not not the dunes. Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the Whangateau Harbour In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour are declining Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Street fulliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | Summ | nary of results relevant to nitrogen production | | |
| Iandusé dowin to 1m. Significant (but lower than native block) nitrification measured in eucalypts down to 80 cm. In contrast, relatively low nitrification activity was measured Kahikatea forest and the Golf Course. Results of nitrogen model The most likely estimates are the following: Irrigated – 2200 kg nitrogen per year Leaving unsaturated zone (to groundwater) – 655 kg nitrogen per year Entering Whangateau Harbour (from groundwater) – 0 kg nitrogen per year Key findings High denitrification rates in soil mean that nitrogen from Jones Road irrigation is almost entirely removed prior to entering Whangateau Harbour. Accordingly, vegetation type at Jones Road is of no practical consequence for nitrogen removal The denitrification rates in soil mean that nitrogen from Jones Road irrigation is almost entirely removed prior to entering Whangateau Harbour. Accordingly, vegetation type at Jones Road is of no practical consequence for nitrogen removal The denitrification rates in sands beneath Golf Course fairways but not on the dunes. The denitrification rates in sands beneath Golf Course are low, but nitrogen removal will occur in saturated (groundwater) organic sediments Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the Whangateau Harbour In any event, phosphorus oncentrations in the Whangateau Harbour In any event, phosphorus oncentrations in the Whangateau Harbour Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation in | ٠ | There are very high nitrification rates measured in the native block | | |
| euclypts down to 80 cm. In contrast, relatively low nitrification activity was measured Kahikatea forest and the Golf Course. Results of nitrogen model The most likely estimates are the following: Leaving unsaturated zone (to groundwater) – 655 kg nitrogen per year Leaving unsaturated zone (to groundwater) – 0 kg nitrogen per year Entering Whangateau Harbour (from groundwater) – 0 kg nitrogen per year Key findings High denitrification rates in soil mean that nitrogen from Jones Read irrigation is almost entirely removed prior to entering Whangateau Harbour. Accordingly, vegetation type at Jones Road is of no practical consequence for nitrogen removal There is significant uptake by grass on Golf Course fairways but not on the dunes. There is significant uptake by grass on Golf Course are low, but nitrogen removal will occur in saturated (groundwater) organic sediments Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the Whangateau Harbour In any event, hotophorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour are declining Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP urrently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Ste fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | • | | | |
| Kahikatea forest and the Golf Course. Results of nitrogen model The most likely estimates are the following: Irrigated – 2200 kg nitrogen per year Leaving unsaturated zone (to groundwater) – 655 kg nitrogen per year Entering Whangateau Harbour (from groundwater) – 0 kg nitrogen per year Key findings • High denitrification rates in soil mean that nitrogen from Jones Road irrigation is almost entirely removed prior to entering Whangateau Harbour. • Accordingly, vegetation type at Jones Road is of no practical consequence for nitrogen removal • Thre is significant uptake by grass on Golf Course fairways but not on the dunes. • The denitrification rates in sands beneath Golf Course are low, but nitrogen removal will occur in saturated (groundwater) organic sediments • Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus • Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the Whangateau Harbour • In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour • In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour • Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour • We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation in | • | | | |
| The most likely estimates are the following: | • | | | |
| Irrigated – 2200 kg nitrogen per year Leaving unsaturated zone (to groundwater) – 655 kg nitrogen per year Entering Whangateau Harbour (from groundwater) – 0 kg nitrogen per year Key findings High denitrification rates in soil mean that nitrogen from Jones Road irrigation is almost entirely removed prior to entering Whangateau Harbour. Accordingly, vegetation type at Jones Road is of no practical consequence for nitrogen removal There is significant uptake by grass on Golf Course fairways but not on the dunes. The denitrification rates in sands beneath Golf Course are low, but nitrogen removal will occur in saturated (groundwater) organic sediments Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the Whangateau Harbour In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour are declining Emerging contaminants Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | Result | ts of nitrogen model | | |
| Leaving unsaturated zone (to groundwater) – 655 kg nitrogen per year Entering Whangateau Harbour (from groundwater) – 0 kg nitrogen per year Key findings High denitrification rates in soil mean that nitrogen from Jones Road irrigation is almost entirely removed prior to entering Whangateau Harbour. Accordingly, vegetation type at Jones Road is of no practical consequence for nitrogen removal There is significant uptake by grass on Golf Course fairways but not on the dunes. The denitrification rates in sands beneath Golf Course are low, but nitrogen removal will occur in saturated (groundwater) organic sediments Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the Whangateau Harbour In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour In any event alcour in cassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Kereommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Kereommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Kereommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants | The m | ost likely estimates are the following: | | |
| year Entering Whangateau Harbour (from groundwater) – 0 kg nitrogen per year Key findings High denitrification rates in soil mean that nitrogen from Jones Road irrigation is almost entirely removed prior to entering Whangateau Harbour. Accordingly, vegetation type at Jones Road is of no practical consequence for nitrogen removal There is significant uptake by grass on Golf Course fairways but not on the dunes. The denitrification rates in sands beneath Golf Course are low, but nitrogen removal will occur in saturated (groundwater) organic sediments Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the Whangateau Harbour In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour are declining Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants. Ketters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | ٠ | Irrigated – 2200 kg nitrogen per year | | |
| per year Key findings • High denitrification rates in soil mean that nitrogen from Jones Road irrigation is almost entirely removed prior to entering Whangateau Harbour. • Accordingly, vegetation type at Jones Road is of no practical consequence for nitrogen removal • There is significant uptake by grass on Golf Course fairways but not on the dunes. • The denitrification rates in sands beneath Golf Course are low, but nitrogen removal will occur in saturated (groundwater) organic sediments • Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus • Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the Whangateau Harbour • In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour are declining Emerging contaminants from the ormana WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour • Emerging contaminants with the orman advector present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour • We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants • We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | • | | | |
| High denitrification rates in soil mean that nitrogen from Jones Road irrigation is almost entirely removed prior to entering Whangateau Harbour. Accordingly, vegetation type at Jones Road is of no practical consequence for nitrogen removal There is significant uptake by grass on Golf Course fairways but not on the dunes. The denitrification rates in sands beneath Golf Course are low, but nitrogen removal will occur in saturated (groundwater) organic sediments Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the Whangateau Harbour In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour are declining Emerging contaminants Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | • | | | |
| Road irrigation is almost entirely removed prior to entering Whangateau Harbour. • Accordingly, vegetation type at Jones Road is of no practical consequence for nitrogen removal • There is significant uptake by grass on Golf Course fairways but not on the dunes. • The denitrification rates in sands beneath Golf Course are low, but nitrogen removal will occur in saturated (groundwater) organic sediments • Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus • In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour are declining Emerging contaminants • Emerging contaminants • We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants • We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | Key fi | ndings | | |
| consequence for nitrogen removal There is significant uptake by grass on Golf Course fairways but not on the dunes. The denitrification rates in sands beneath Golf Course are low, but nitrogen removal will occur in saturated (groundwater) organic sediments Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the Whangateau Harbour In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour are declining Emerging contaminants Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | • | Road irrigation is almost entirely removed prior to entering | | |
| not on the dunes. The denitrification rates in sands beneath Golf Course are low, but nitrogen removal will occur in saturated (groundwater) organic sediments Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the Whangateau Harbour In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour are declining Emerging contaminants Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | • | | | |
| nitrogen removal will occur in saturated (groundwater) organic sediments • Overall assessment is that negligible nitrogen from the irrigation will enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus • Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the Whangateau Harbour • In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour are declining Emerging contaminants • Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour • We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? | • | | | |
| enter the Whangateau Harbour Phosphorus Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the Whangateau Harbour In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour are declining Emerging contaminants Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | • | nitrogen removal will occur in saturated (groundwater) organic | | |
| Phosphorus from the Omaha WWTP irrigation is unlikely to enter the Whangateau Harbour In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour are declining Emerging contaminants Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | • | | | |
| the Whangateau Harbour In any event, phosphorus concentrations in the Whangateau Harbour are declining Emerging contaminants Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | Phosp | ohorus | | |
| Harbour are declining Emerging contaminants • Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour • We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? | • | | | |
| Emerging contaminants from the irrigation of treated wastewater from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | • | | | |
| from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the Whangateau Harbour • We recommend periodic reassessment of the risk presented by emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | Emerg | ging contaminants | | |
| emerging contaminants Matters raised during the Streamlined Environmental presentation include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | • | from the Omaha WWTP currently present a low risk to the | | |
| include: Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | • | | | |
| Is the fertiliser used at the golf course included in the model? Yes | | | | |
| Yes | | | | |
| | | ובינוווסבי עסבע מנינוב עטוו נטעוסב וווטועטעט ווו נוופ וווטעפו: | | |
| | | was pointed out that the ORGC does not apply fartiliser in winter | | |

| Agenda/Actions | Responsibility | Due by |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| What is the capacity of the peat to continue denitrification? | | |
| Very great, thousands of years | | |
| Ministry of Primary Industries have extended cockle ban in Whangateau Harbour. They have said they think there is something wrong with the Harbour. | | |
| What happens to nitrogen load if irrigation is double on Jones Road? Very little difference | | |
| There is a considerable increase of irrigation to the Omaha dunes. Little nitrogen is immobilised, so what is likely to happen in terms of this nitrogen? We will go away and look at this matter and report back | Watercare, PDP, SEL | 12 March 2016 |
| The Omaha WWTP dam has had problems in the past, is there a risk of it breaking, what happens if it does break and what is being done about it? What monitoring is being undertaken? The dam had a weep which Watercare fixed. Watercare controls the most dams of any organisation in New Zealand. All the dams are regularly monitored and maintained. We'll present the monitoring programme at a | Watercare | 12 March 2016 |
| future meeting. There is something significant in the unirrigated native block on Jones Road, possibly a frog. This is why it wasn't irrigated in the originally. This area has recently been surveyed but we will look through the historic records to see what we can find. | Watercare | 12 March 2016 |
| Next Steps | | |
| The next meeting is scheduled for Saturday 12 March, 10am at the Point Wells Bowling Club. | | |
| The purpose of this meeting will be to present the results of the following workstreams: | Mark James | |
| Microbiology | | |
| Hydrodynamics | | |
| o Ecology | | |
| o Land use management | | |
| Watercare will also report back on the matters raised at this meeting. | | |